

accept what we say and they have rejected things the Legislature has said. It is not always clear when you first read something, the impact that it is going to have on all legislation pending at this time. On page 12, this is the language and the section numbered 7 in the original committee amendment. The changes made by Sections 2 to 6, and those two refernces are out, the changes made by this legislative bill are expressly intended to apply to all litigation pending as of this date, as of the date this act is passed and approved according to law. Does it say it is expressly intended to apply to all litigation arising out of the issues that this legislation addresses or to all litigation pending at this time? I'd like to ask Senator Hefner that question, if I may.

PRESIDENT: Senator Hefner, would you like to respond to that, or not?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Hefner, am I reading it correctly when I read the language to say that the changes made by this legislative bill are expressly intended to apply to all litigation pending as of the date this act is passed and approved according to law? Is that what it says in that language?

SENATOR HEFNER: (Mike off.) ...no comment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, okay. Who...Senator Hall, would you comment? Senator Hefner has jumped off the...jumped from out in front of the Governor's jinrikisha and want somebody else to pull that burden for a while. Senator Hall, would you look at that language? Does it say all legislation pending?

SENATOR HALL: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Does all allow for any exception?

SENATOR HALL: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Senator Landis, would you deal with me on this? By saying that the language of this bill shall apply to all pending legislation, what is there that restricts that to the cases that maybe have arisen out of the issues that this bill deals with?

SENATOR LANDIS: The change would, I think on its face, be