

before next session, then why can't we use some time to fashion a remedy that addresses an evil that exists rather than something that is speculative, because that will not serve the Governor's political purposes. She wants those polls to go up. She wants to run for reelection. She wants to give the appearance that something is being done and that a problem is being addressed when, in fact, there is no problem in existence at this time. This bill does not address the real problem, it's a sham and it's a hoax, and what we ought to do is just go home. I want to go home, Senator Lynch, but I'll stay.

PRESIDENT: Time is up. Senator Wesely, please.

SENATOR WESELY: Mr. President, members, I think I have a partial answer to Senator Chambers' and my eternal question of why are we here, the one we are always looking and searching for the answers even. Senator Hefner said the answer was, we're here because John Boehm wants us here and this bill is here because he wants to see it passed, and I didn't think that was a particularly good question, but I think I know what is going on here because Senator Landis has gotten to the so-called nub of the issue. The nub of the issue is on page 12 and it is Section 6. So I looked back to LB 762 which was passed six months ago in May which I had before referenced with Senator Hefner where I said, didn't we just address the refund issue? Didn't we just clean up the refund issue? Why are we back to do it again? Well, the reason we're back to clean up the refund statutes is because we screwed them up six months ago. The very language that we're complaining and concerned about is the language put in in LB 762 six months ago. If you look at that you'll find that section did not exist before. The reason there have been no successful appeals in this in the past is there was no statute on this in the past. This is the first it has been in effect was after the session ended, the 90 days have passed, it is now there. We did the appeal, we messed up, we screwed up and we're trying to clean it up today evidently, but it's ironic that the very people that wanted that legislation are back wanting this legislation and I think it is important for us to understand why we're back here today is because of the very statute that was asked for by the Revenue Department last session is the very problem we're trying to solve in this session.

PRESIDENT: Mr. Clerk, I understand we have an amendment to the amendment.