November 14, 1989 LB 2

situated to receive the same relief as the particular person appealing would receive should he or she prevail.

SENATOR LANDIS: I'd just add potentially, but, yes, that characterization is fair.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why then would there be two hundred and however many, 220 or whatever, the number of appeals that are pending? If they've kind of gotten their heads together, why won't one suffice for all?

SENATOR LANDIS: They haven't gotten their heads together but there are some among the litter who, to create standing to press their claim, have couched them in terms of class actions. The vast majority, the overwhelming majority have not. They've just asked for relief for themselves.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So it still primarily is an individual appeal in each case where relief is being sought.

SENATOR LANDIS: The overwhelming majority of appeals are so formed, I understand.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if the overwhelming majority consists of individual appeals, where is the emergency that has called us into session?

SENATOR LANDIS: In...now, you did this to me the last time and, by the way, I almost tried to get my point here, you confused my justification for the language of the bill with then trying to make me justify the existence of the special session.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, not you. I was referring to ...

SENATOR LANDIS: And you were unfair to do so the last time and I'm not going to let you do it this time. Now, on the bill itself the justification is this...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Wait a minute...(interruption)

SENATOR LANDIS: ... the justification is this.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Wait a minute...

SENATOR LANDIS: And that is that any one of those hundreds of