

SENATOR HALL: Senator Hannibal, that...

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Possibly?

SENATOR HALL: Possibly, yes.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: All right, if...let me further my question then and say, if some of these cases, which it is my understanding some of these cases are dealing with...going to the total issue of classification of all people who pay boat tax, all people paying motor tax, all people who pay airplane tax, all people who pay business equipment personal property tax. If those classes...some of the appellants are in those classes, and they're saying they want their properties, their tax reduced equal to zero, and if this amendment passes then all people in that category would have their property reduced to zero, their tax reduced to zero.

SENATOR HALL: Okay, not with the...not with the simple passage of this amendment. They would...the court would still have to determine, I mean, we cannot,...

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Assuming the amendment is passed, assuming the bill is passed, assuming the cases are upheld in court.

SENATOR HALL: Assuming the amendment is part of the...as it is...excuse me, now let me make sure...I want to make sure that the question...

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Assuming the amendment is...

SENATOR HALL: Okay, Senator Withem's amendment would be passed.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Right.

SENATOR HALL: We strip the three sections out of the bill.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Correct.

SENATOR HALL: Okay. The answer would then be, in my opinion, no. And the reason I say that is because I still think that those individuals would have to make an appeal for...I don't think that any process goes out the window in terms of whether or not they get their taxes back. I don't see anywhere in the current statutes where if the court upholds those 243 cases