need to be clarified, and I guess they would be the ones that would have to clarify it, because, in my opinion, the way it is currently drafted, it goes to the intent of the amendment that was offered, and it exempts the irrigation systems from being called real property, which means they are classified as personal property. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Ashford, you are next but I understand we have an amendment to the committee amendments. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, if I may read some items for the record.

PRESIDENT: Please.

CLERK: Mr. President, I have a confirmation hearing report offered by the General Affairs Committee, signed by Senator Smith as Chair. A new resolution offered by Senator Abboud, Mr. President. That will be laid over. (Re: LR 6. See pages 100-102 of the Legislative Journal.)

A motion by Senator Warner with respect to raising LB 6. Enrollment and Review reports LB 3 to Select File, Mr. President.

Mr. President, Senator Schmit would move to amend the committee amendments. Senator, I have AMO28S in front of me. (On file in Clerk's Office.)

PRESIDENT: Senator Schmit, please.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, and members, I have just been handed letters from two county assessors in which they say that LB 1 clearly defines real and/or personal property and outlines why they support LB 1. That only leaves 91 more county assessors to get their letters into Mr. Larry D. Administrator of the Property Tax Division. I would suggest they also send copies of these letters to the Supreme Court because that is where we are going to be again, and I am sure they will be most impressed with these two Anyway,...and I mean they mean well, they mean well. Senator Hefner said that the pump, the irrigation pump is real property. Senator Hall said the irrigation pump is personal property. Ladies and gentlemen, you don't need to point the finger at me for raising the issue. You have got two members of the Revenue