in the veto message basically for the veto, itself. I would urge the override so that they can continue to provide the coordination of the facilities in Omaha that both the County or Douglas and the city have enjoyed.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Labedz.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Mr. President. I, too, rise in support. In fact, Senator Hall and I both sent a motion up at the desk to override LB 1043. It simply provides that a Building Commission shall remain in existence until the governing bodies of the city and county jointly agree that the commission is no longer needed. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President, and members of the body. I would just rise in support of this motion for an override and say that last year you passed the bill that Senator Crosby and I introduced that established the same kind of a Building Commission in Lancaster County. It would seem rather silly to establish that one and then take this one away this year. So I would just move the override.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Hannibal.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Mr. Speaker, I realize we are very late in the evening and this is not a money issue. We have been talking money issues all day, but I had to rise after what Senator Schimek said, because we had the bill earlier talking about the plumbing board in Omaha and, actually, all I wanted in the plumbing board in Omaha was to do what Lincoln can do, and we negotiated that out quite some bit so Omaha is not the same as Lincoln, or for that matter any other city in the State of Nebraska right now. So to say it is okay for Lincoln, it is okay for Omaha doesn't hold "water all the time". This bill has become kind of an interesting subject and I honestly, I haven't talked with the Governor about the bill, why the Governor vetoed I honestly don't know. I understand there have been competing factions from a partisan standpoint on the issue. This is the bill that I had my name on and took my name off of and Senator Lynch put his name back on, and the simple fact of the matter is on the bill is from, maybe from a supportive standpoint, the bill is one that should not have had any politics involved. I would not have been anywhere close to the