SPEAKER BARRETT: That is correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: .. and it shows what we're dealing with. Talking about the rules. I haven't violated the rules. other side has. Have you heard me stand up on a point of personal privilege, violate the rules in that fashion? Have you seen me stand up and interrupt somebody? No. I don't have to do that because the position that I take is right. position is one of justice and I don't have to go outside the rules to do that, and I don't have to fabricate stories to make a point. We're all grown men here. I'm somebody's son, but I'm not anybody's child. Somebody going to look at me and act like they going to chastise me? This is nonsense, and that's the attitude that we see in bullying and intimidating these women. They're too accustomed to looking angry at somebody and they shake in their shoe leather and take off running. They've lived in the wrong place for too long and they don't understand the underside of the garment and the kind of people who are not going to take that kind of mess. We need more people in here who will stand up and speak up and do whatever is necessary to advocate. In knowledge there is power, and when you know, and know what you know ...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ... you can stay within the rules. I don't have to get people here to say that the words don't mean what words clearly mean, because what I do is within the rules that this body adopted. Everything I do is within these rules, everything, and that's what angers people. They can read the rule book. And I'm not going to have anybody tell me how to make the point that I'm making either and whether I'm on the subject or not. They may just not understand what I'm talking about. I speak sometimes in words of more than two syllables because the thought I'm trying to convey takes more than two syllables. And somebody's going to have the gall to tell me how I'm to express myself; how I'm to unburden my mind? No way.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Not.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Schimek. The question is the motion to reconsider the previous vote.