

Senator Lindsay and listen to Senator McFarland. They keep a straight face so I try to keep a straight face. You're going to overrule the Chair. So what? Or as the kids, so? And, so? And you've done what? Nothing at all. And when you go home and you start boasting to each other and patting each other on the back, they will say, well, what have you wrought? And Senator Lindsay will say, well, I got them to say that the words in the rule book don't mean what they say. I got them to say that a motion had been decided when it was still there. He's going to live with that. He and I are on the Judiciary Committee together. We get along very well. And Senator Lindsay is worthy of something better than what he is being required to do right now, being required to do right now. Brothers and sisters, this is indeed a sad day in the Legislature...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and I am supporting the Chair and I'm going to vote against the motion to override, common sense and proper reading of the rules.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Question.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The question has been called. Do I see five hands? I do. Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: I'm going to ask for a ruling on whether there has been adequate debate on the overrule of the Chair.

SPEAKER BARRETT: In the opinion of the Chair, based on the discussion of the past several hours, it occurs to me that perhaps discussion has been adequate.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Then I will make a motion to override that decision.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Discussion on the motion to override. Anyone care to speak to the motion? Senator, would you care to open?

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Yes I will open. Obviously, I disagree with the ruling of the Chair. I know Senator Lindsay