

not...it's not an extremely outrageous bill. I think it's a fairly reasonable bill. I think it's time to vote on it. I don't think we should follow the strict letter of the rules and violate the entire spirit of those rules and allow a filibuster of this nature to go on again. And I don't think it's any excuse to say, well, we can rewrite the rules next year and take care of this problem. That's not correct. You can rewrite rules and rewrite rules and rewrite rules and if people want to violate and twist and distort and manipulate, they will be able to do it. So the question really is, are we going to vote on this tonight or not? If we are, then we have to have a motion to overrule the Chair at this point. And I think, beyond that, I suspect we're going to have to have times where the Chair, if this is going to be voted on, is just going to have to not recognize further motions by the people who are trying to delay it, because I'm sure Senator Bernard-Stevens can file...if this is overruled, he will file another motion to April 29th. And if he...and if that is not allowed, then he will move to overrule the Chair and all we'll be doing the rest of the night is debating overruling on the Chair's motions. This is a crucial time. If we're going to vote on this bill and debate it, then we should do that, allow the vote to occur, and if we don't get the 30 votes to suspend the rules and if then they want to offer amendments, then I guess we just stay here. But if the 30 votes are there to suspend the rules and allow the bill to advance to Final Reading, that should be done. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, this is a peewee bill. This is a nothing bill. It doesn't do anything. It's a desire on the part of the so-called pro-life people in another part of the country to get anything through this Legislature and then they can scoff at what a job they did on the hicks in Nebraska. And they will be justified in doing that. We have got people sitting around here for this who have been gone and you can't find them any other time and it's as though we're in a titanic struggle about something. There is a principle involved. They're trying to burden a woman to make a decision, but I do believe that if this bill passes, then somebody will be in court and it should be enjoined. It's just a travesty to watch people get up and make these solemn statements, especially the lawyers, pretending they don't understand words. Now Senator Lindsay knows, just like he knows he's sitting there, that when a motion is before us and it has