

April 4, 1990

LB 854

SPEAKER BARRETT: The Chair indicated that Senator Lindsay's concern was not in order.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Okay, so you are making it as a ruling.

SPEAKER BARRETT: He is now challenging the Chair.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Okay, but you understand that that is a different precedent than we had just an hour ago?

SPEAKER BARRETT: I fail to understand the difference.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Okay.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. We're going to debate now on the question of the overrule. Senator McFarland. I'm sorry, Senator Lindsay, would you like to open?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Yeah.

SPEAKER BARRETT: I assume that you had opened.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Yeah.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Proceed.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you. I think everybody in here can count or learned back in grade school or maybe even before grade school how many days of the year there are. At last count, if it's not a leap year, I think it's around 365. And I know that Senator Bernard-Stevens, although he told me a couple days ago don't presume anything on this bill when he suggested he may vote for it, I think we can all presume in this case that 365 motions are forthcoming if necessary. I suggest to you that that is not the intent of this book. This book, up until this session, was intended to convey some order onto this body. If we are not going to enforce the intent of the book, we might as well throw the whole thing out, which we may have to do anyway. The rule cannot be read just simply in black and white on every single issue and if that is the case, I would call the body's attention back to the rule dealing with motions on Select File, specifically Rule 6, Section 5. If we look in there, a motion to bracket is not in order. The only rule...the only motions