

April 4, 1990

LB 272A, 769, 1141A, 1141

carried out. The bill drafters are now doing that work. It will be back forthwith. What I ask from the body is some forbearance, perhaps a brief recess to allow that work to be done, a chance for parties to talk. I don't know, but if we start this...this rock down the side of the mountain it will be an avalanche and we will miss the chance to do this work. And if I have any time remaining, I would yield to Senator Hall, a well-known advocate of LB 769.

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: President and members, is a problem. I mean we've got six and a half hours to deal with this issue if we're going to deal with it. If we don't, if we don't send some direction to the Banking Department through changing the legislation that we passed in LB 272A to clarify what we intended, I think it's clear, the Banking Director does not. If we don't make the change, LB 1141A is a vehicle, if we don't make the change, those people who I represented from the American Savings standpoint and that were referenced throughout the debate get nothing under her interpretation. That's unfair. That's why I would move to overrule the Chair with regard, or excuse me, use LB 1141A as the vehicle for this procedure. It surely isn't an abortion issue. It would be an abortion to leave those people out of the funding.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time. On the motion to overrule the Chair, Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the body, obviously I found out about the Commonwealth situation the same time as you are. And even though I did not support the Commonwealth vote, I very well feel that the intent of the Legislature was clear, there were the votes to do the Commonwealth package. And I think the intent of the Legislature should be pursued, solved, done. We need to get rid of the issue once and for all. I suggest two options and actually I'm mulling myself how to do that. One option would be I think I could file or someone could file a motion that we recess for 30 minutes, trying to get the wrangling over LB 1141 on the principal parties. I personally am not sure that's going to happen given a discussion I just heard between Senator Labeledz and Senator Landis. I suggest the following as simply a possibility for the body and it's only a possibility. My original motion was and actually still is, not the original one