

that it can be argued it was motivated for the reasons that some have outlined. I would suspect that it will be a positive report. There really isn't any doubt in my mind about it. And I suspect it will be hassled whether the report is out or not. I would suspect that it would be to the interest of those who supported 775 to have it out as early as possible to defend the benefits. My reason is straight up, even though I certainly understand the other side, but December 1 is the traditional date. I suspect there's two or three bills that are already going through or pending will have those same dates and I see no reason, unless, of course, those who are opposing it are right, I see no reason why one would not use that traditional date of December 1 for reports for pending legislation.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. The question is the adoption of the Warner amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. We have a request for a record vote. Senator Warner?

SENATOR WARNER: I assume I'd need to ask for a call of the house and a call-in vote would be fine as close as it is.

PRESIDENT: Okay, the question is, shall the house go under call? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 17 ayes, 1 nay to go under call, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The house is under call. Please record your presence. Those not in the chamber, please return. Call-in votes are authorized. Please record your presence. Some of you are here but have not lit up. Thank you. Senator Lynch. Senator Moore. Senator Barrett's on his way. Senator Labedz, would you light up, please? Thank you. Need Senator Lamb. Authorized, call-ins are authorized.

CLERK: Senator Barrett voting yes.

PRESIDENT: Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: (Read record vote as found on pages 1875-76 of the Legislative Journal.) 25 ayes, 14 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT: The Warner amendment is adopted. Anything further on the bill?