

is doing that and Senator Wesely will pick up on that. You know, it's easy to simply say when someone has a real concern about a bill that they really don't have a concern about a bill, this is an abortion delay, and I find that amusing but obviously under the situation, I can understand where Senator Hall would probably think that. The fact of the matter is if 431 would have been up as it was, I didn't have too many problems with it. The amended form as we're coming through, I would have had problems whether it would have been today, tomorrow, last year, 10 years ago. Well, 10 years ago is a little hard. We didn't have 775 then. All I'm doing is saying the following. If, in fact, we have Senator Wesely and Senator Hall and Senator Schmit, if we have those that are concerned with getting more information to the body, we ask ourselves a couple of questions that apparently no one wants to ask or the body is numb and just don't care to ask or there is a defeatist attitude. I don't know. But the questions that need to be asked are, why is some of the information not provided by the Department of Revenue and I suspect it's because some of it is somewhat sensitive in regards to some of the businesses that provide that information. The next question we ask is, the Department of Revenue is willing to give the information if we pass this law. In other words, the Department of Revenue is not going to defy the law and say if you pass it, we'll give it to you. That's fine. If that occurs, two questions arise. Number one, the first question that arises is, is the information that is provided by the companies to the Department of Revenue, is that information now going to be somewhat different in its presentation to the Department of Revenue because now some of the information that normally was going to be kept by the department is going to be made public? I suspect there is going to be a change in the type of information provided. And if I were a company and had sensitive information I knew would be made public, I would probably find a way to change that type of information reported. Because, as I mentioned before, it's very clear that the reporting of 775 benefits or faults is very subjective, very subjective. The second question that the body needs to figure out is, or come to a conclusion or consensus on, if we, in fact, get this information, how are we as a body going to get the information? Senator Wesely says we're going to get a booklet and it will be an expanded booklet which will have the expanded information in and each senator will get a copy. That's fine. We're going to have 49 senators read that information. I put to you that I suspect, as we all know, most of that information is not read in its entirety by all members. I suspect those people