

want to have your...there is a motion that I filed on a bracket to a time certain, and I understand that may just conflict with what you just said, and I just want to have a confirmation that that is in conflict with what...with the previous decision you made on the agenda. Is that correct?

SPEAKER BARRETT: You are asking the Chair a question as to whether or not that motion to bracket is in conflict with...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Correct.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Yes, I would think it would be.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Okay, thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you.

CLERK: Senator, we're on your amendment to LB 431.

SENATOR WESELY: Mr. Speaker, members, I did pass out earlier today the amendment. It is co-signed with Senator Hall, Senator Korshoj, Senator McFarland...I'm trying to remember who all we have on the amendment, but is essentially LB 1028 which came out of the Revenue Committee. It was a committee priority bill and deals with the topic of disclosure on LB 775, an issue I have been working on since the bill passed in 1987. We have reached a compromise with the chamber of commerce, state chamber of commerce, I don't know what chamber of commerce, Lincoln Chamber of Commerce, with the language that we offered you. The bill was amended by committee. The amendment that we're offering is essentially that version of the bill. Then we further worked with John Cederberg and we have a follow-up amendment that will deal with his technical changes and then further worked with the chambers to deal with the reporting required in the September report under the amendment and with this amendment and the two amendments to it, we will, hopefully, have reached a point of compromise with the chamber. Let me give you thrust of what we're trying to do. In 1987, we did pass the LB 775 tax incentives, but in passing that bill we did amend it with language Senator McFarland, myself, Senator Vard Johnson offered to the bill, but we found later that that disclosure was inadequate. It did provide for every year an annual report which you've seen, but the report is inadequate in terms of truly analyzing the situation and so we continue to have different viewpoints on the issue. What we found was that the