

for just a moment more. Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, I think at this point I will ask Senator Landis to restate his point to make sure that the Chair and the rest of us are clear on his point, and I would encourage just a bit of discussion on his point of order. Senator Landis, please proceed.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, thank you. At this point, looking at the green sheet and at the Speaker's agenda and the motion to overrule, we have no place in there for motions so there is no place where that motion is identified on the green sheet as part of the agenda, in which case then such a motion would need to be a priority motion, it seems to me, to take its place ahead of that material which is before the body, and for that reason it seems to me that the motion may be out of order. Now, I have sat here and not objected in the past. On the other hand, that doesn't mean that having not objected, if this item is objectionable, that that ruling can't be upheld. In this situation I don't think the motion is in order or if not, at least I'm asking the Chair if it is in order. This is not a...by the rules, this is not identified as a priority motion.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The primary argument you're making, Senator Landis, is that this is not a priority motion, therefore, it is out of order.

SENATOR LANDIS: For two things. First, there is no place on the green sheet that authorizes motions to be made ahead of Select File which would put it on the agenda, which would then give it the chance to be in order. Since that is not recognized as part of the agenda, then that's right, my point is it's not a priority motion over what we're supposed to take.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Chambers, your light is on. Would you like to make a point or two?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I see two issues and mine is distinct from the one Senator Landis raised so maybe we should dispose of his first in order, because I see him as being distinct, and I don't want to confuse the issue, so...and his is distinct from what I'm looking at.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. At this point the issue then is whether or not the matter is a priority motion. In the opinion of the Chair, it is not a priority motion.