

will IPP that particular bill. That bill will not take any time. We go down to Senator Wesely's data collection, Senator Morrissey's Liability Act, and Senator Lamb's LB 866. What I am proposing to do is to take LB 854 and put it right after Senator Lamb's LB 866A, and what I am saying is we, as a body, know we are going to get into a fight today. We have a chance, as a body, to decide when that fight is going to take place. And there are people out there who still hold on to the shred of hope that that on the abortion issue that there is somehow some pressure out there, that some guilt out there, that will make people somehow give up, and I think you all know that is not going to happen. It is not going to happen. So I am giving the body an opportunity to say there is some things we can do if you want to do so. We can get to LB 85. It will be later day, and we can go all afternoon and all into the evening. If Senator Labeledz has the motions to do what she wants to do, fine. If Senator Chambers, myself, and others have the power and the stamina to do what we want to do, fine, but we will have all sorts of time to do that. But let's do as we did yesterday, let's...these bills that we moved across because they were important to do, let's give them a chance to be discussed, and I think you will find that it won't take that much time. And I give that option to the body. You can do as you please. It is simply an option for you to consider. Thank you.

**SPEAKER BARRETT:** For purposes of discussion, Senator Schimek.

**SENATOR SCHIMEK:** Mr. President, and members of the body, I will be brief. I rise in support of Senator Bernard-Stevens' motion. Actually, of all the motions that have been presented this morning, this one makes the most sense to me in terms of political reality. I think that this might be a possibility that we can agree that we will have our disagreement later in the day after we have accomplished some of the business of the day. If I had my druthers, we would not discuss the bill at all because I do feel that it is in some respects a waste of the body's time because I do believe it is constitutionally very suspect and that is in keeping with the Attorney General's Opinion or advisement that he issued in response to Senator Nelson's question. But I am willing to concede that we will probably have to discuss this but let's not hold up the entire business of the session in order to discuss it early in the day. Let's do some of the other business first, do what Senator Bernard-Stevens has suggested and move the agenda. Thank you very much.