

going to talk about the motion directly. I did want to respond to a give and take Senator Chambers and I had earlier, and the question was called and Senator Chambers closed and I didn't get a chance to expound a little bit. There is no doubt, Senator Chambers, that you and I...I guess I'd want to be careful on that. I suspect we may agree that there are certain higher truths out there, whether we understand what they are, whether we are going back to Plato and say, here they are out there, hopefully we will be able to understand the true, what is true on any particular topic. We have a long ways to go, obviously, before we get to that point but the difference between that theory and the political realities are two different things, I think which you know. All of us have a very intriguing time of trying to place what we view to be truth in a principle based on political realities, and there is where things grey. Some of us decide to ignore this particular idea for a greater good on the other side. Sometimes we will say, no, I am not going to, I am going to draw the line here, I don't care about the other part, and I am going to draw the line here and debate it as long and as hard as I can, such as the abortion issue. Others will draw the line elsewhere. Others will never draw the line. The point I am trying to make on the vote that we did yesterday is there are many people out there who had other things, other agendas that needed to be done, and the line was drawn for another day. And if you ask me in the pure truth of the matter whether it was good policy? No. If you ask me whether it was political reality given certain agendas that I and other people have, to that answer it was yes. Are they in conflict? Absolutely. Is the political system in conflict with principles and moral truths? Most of the time. And that is the dilemma many of us have. In fact, that is the dilemma most people have when they enter the political process, is that they are so frustrated by the process itself. I think that is why when we get to abortion issues, whether it be a pro-choice issue, Senator Chambers, or a pro-life issue, I suspect that if the majority of people that were in the body, whether or not they represented the majority of the people in Nebraska or not is immaterial, but if, by chance, a majority of the people of the body were considered to be what others would call pro-choice and they had LB 1054 in LB 854's spot, LB 1054 being the pro-choice bill that was introduced, I suspect those on the pro-life side, and I would argue that all of us are pro-life, but those that have taken up the cause, at least the name of pro-life, would be arguing as bitterly, using whatever methods they could, in order to stop the majority from getting LB 1054 through. I suspect that would