

SPEAKER BARRETT: It is withdrawn. Thank you. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Conway would move to amend on page 3, line 8, strike "1991" and insert "1992". (See Conway amendment FA452 as found on page 1860 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Conway, please.

SENATOR CONWAY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In order to deal with the confusion, there has been some good recommendations made about the studies that are to be conducted this summer and the like, what I am requesting then is where the sunset provision was established for January 1, 1991, for to grandfather those people who are already engaged in this activity, that if we'd move that back, since we do not have that particular issue in front of us by virtue of my previous amendment, to move that back to 1992 for the sunset for those activities that are already involved and that way, while the study's being conducted, we will then have the ability to have amendments, language, whatever, drafted in such a way that these people will be protected during that transitional period. So if I could strike the "1991," insert "1992," that would accomplish my needs and apparently most of the concern people are having with amendment. That is simple enough.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Discussion on the amendment offered by Senator Conway? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President and members. The amendment that Senator Conway offers is a straightforward amendment that deals with adding one year to the sunset for the folks that he represents in his district with regard to their issue. Now, back to his original amendment just briefly. That... it was a substantive change. I know Senator Withem and Senator Schmit didn't feel that way but it was because we had changed that law last year. We were now going back and putting in place the ability for three different operations to be run at one time. We were placing that in statute, not allowing for it to be sunsetted but placing that in statute. So it was a substantive change. What he does here in this amendment is just say, give me another year, do the study, then let's see if there's something we can deal with that or not. It's an honest approach to the issue. I would... I'm afraid it may impact the