

at least an opportunity to tell you why I have some problems with it. But, most importantly, now we have got a bill here with LB 843 which is the choice bill that had an amendment put onto it to correct the problem of LB 1059 and why? Because people at home wanted to have 1059 pulled back and discussed some more, for some obvious reasons, because it's going to be amended or at least it's going to be attempted to be amended or it may be harassed or maybe filibustered and I certainly understand why they wouldn't want to bring it back. But, for us as a Legislature, to pass a bill, LB 843 with amendments, that I think are fairly necessary to another bill and say, well, 843 has got a million and a half dollar hit and you've got a real problem if we're trying to look at a total budget, maybe you love 1059, maybe you love 843, but maybe you like 1059 and you don't like 843, all of a sudden we have got a million and a half dollar issue over here that if it's not passed, we have got a state aid formula that may not be paid out the last three months of this fiscal year. Now it could be corrected, maybe, if we pass 1059E without the E clause, because then if you pass it without the E clause, it doesn't go into effect right away, it takes three months to go into effect and we go pass the end of the fiscal year. And so maybe that is the option that the 1059 supporters will say is, well, we can't have this E clause we put on there because it won't work real well for us, we amended 843, we can't take a chance that 843 is going to pass or not pass so let's vote for it but let's get that E clause off. And my only point is we're really kind of messing around with things that is a major, major piece of legislation. I grant that the next speaker to get up and talk in favor of 1059 are going to say, Hannibal, you've got sour grapes written all over you, and that's fine. But I think for those people that do have some legitimate concerns with 1059, you ought to know some of the problems with it and you ought to know that there are some of us that feel that it is not the best we can do and that it doesn't mean we don't want to try to change our financing structure, it's just not the best and I don't think it's been thoroughly thought out as much as we would like. We won't have a chance to talk about that if we have the suspension motion. I am not in favor of the suspension motion because I think each of the bills ought to have a chance to be discussed and I understand that I'm probably somewhat blowing into the wind here but I wanted to have my thoughts known. And thank you, Senator Wesely, for yielding my time. If you want any back I will...if I have any, you can have it back.