

representative ought to be from then you might be abridging their activities in that regard.

SENATOR LAMB: Thank you. Thank you. I think Senator Conway's comments are on point because, to me, this...I agree with Senator Chambers this sentence here is nebulous, it's almost...it's going to be very difficult to define. I don't think it's workable. I think it should be removed.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature, I really appreciate the discussion between Senators Lamb and Conway because it does bring light on what I was trying to get across. And when you do as I did before, ask questions of one of the bill's supporters to try to make a point it takes a lot of time without really getting to the crux of the matter. But there are two elements involved in these sentences. In line 4, by talking about areas of the state, it's a geographical definition on which representation or selection of these members will be based; then the second element is in lines 5 and 6 which talk about "primary service responsibilities". So, as was pointed out in the exchange between Senator Conway and Senator Lamb, the geographic area that is benefited or reached by the primary service responsibilities could be far removed geographically from the location of the institution involved. So if there is a particular program offered by that institution that affects the whole state, then how many representatives to that board of trustees would come from outside the immediate geographic area of the institution? You could select people for the UNO Board of Trustees from as far away as Scottsbluff, so you could have one from Scottsbluff, one from Gering, one from Lexington, one from Imperial, and the other, to bring it a little closer, from North Platte, and they would comprise the Board of Trustees for UNO. If there were a point reached where a number of senators were irritated at a given institution or their board or the students, then, by definition, and we would be allowing ourselves, through this constitutional language to do so, could describe how those persons are to be selected in terms of the geographic location so that none would be anywhere near the institution. They would be known to have interest hostile to those of the institution and that would be authorized by the language we have here. If there's to be a geographical component involved in the appointment of these people, it should be designed to make the geographical component related directly