

successful in overruling the agenda, I'm not going to harass your bill but I'm certainly going to discuss it. I'm not just going to give you a free pass over to Select File to debate a bill of that magnitude, particularly since, you know, I think Senator Warner is absolutely right. I mean, if LB 1059 is vetoed on the premise that it's an unfair tax shift and that renters are hurt and that low-income people are hurt, well, then certainly if you fashion LB 866, the sales tax increase, and apply the same criteria, it would most certainly be vetoed as well. Now last year, I remember when the gang of four, which is all split up now into various different directions with Senator Hall, Senator Chizek, Senator Lamb and myself, we sold that premise that it was a one-time, short-term, stopgap way to address property taxes, while in the meantime we worked on a more permanent method of more equitably distributing state dollars in the way of a tax shift. Senator Lamb stood up on this floor and said he supported LB 84 a short-term, he said one two years. Now, Senator Lamb, you know, it seems odd to me. Yes, Senator Lamb did work on the School Finance Review Commission and after it was all said and done he introduced this bill because that's really what he wanted to do anyway. He wanted the Lamb proposal contained in LB 84 to continue on into infinity. I understand why Senator Lamb wants that. You know, it's blind to who needs the money. It's blind to what tax levies are at a certain...at a point right now hinges on a percentage basis. As a matter of fact, I mean, maybe I'm crazy, but LB 866, as far as a distribution formula, would very.. would most certainly be better for some portion of my district than others. I'm not saying I'm all against it, but the fact of the matter is that LB 866 just throws money out with no idea, no concern about who needs it and what's to be accomplished by it. That's why I don't like LB 866. Also, now, unlike last year on LB 84 was free money, LB 866 is going to cost some money because we're talking about a tax increase and, yes, we need to discuss that. Senator Lamb knows that. I mean, there is no way in God's green earth you should move this bill, a hundred...a hundred-plus million dollar bill, over to Select File without some funding source from it. We all know that's what the discussion needs to be. Now I'm not afraid of Senator Lamb's bill in any way, shape, or form. Going back to what he said, you know, the Speaker has the right to special order something. He did not. I'm going to support the Speaker. Now I don't want to never get to LB 866. As a matter of fact, strictly so I am accused of being fair, I'd like to get to this bill in its normal order and discuss it and dispose of it as we should with