the rest of the day on the discussion of this amendment on He stood up and said that and he was quoted in the paper. He got his way Friday so now he's upset because there was a long debate in which he did not participate but which he encouraged to go on by the kind of votes he cast. Now, to get away from Senator Lamb, I have a priority bill that has not appeared on the agenda for several days. I had asked the Speaker to hold it until I got an Opinion from the Attorney General. For some reason, that Opinion which had been promised was not given to me and the Speaker can confirm this. He and I have not discussed this. He has wanted to put that back on the agenda and I told him don't do it because I don't have the Opinion, and then we were reaching a point where I felt, in my mind, I should get it on the agenda so I might have a chance to advance it. But looking at the crush of the last days, the problems with scheduling, I never have asked him to put my priority bill on the agenda for discussion again, and it's a bill that means a great deal to me because it relates to a way in which the university is discriminating against athletes. It's a matter that I've been dealing with for years. I've spent a lot of time drafting and crafting a bill. It's not that long a bill, but it represents a great deal of research, but I did not have it put back on the agenda. Now, I am the one primarily who continued the debate Friday, but nobody was caught off-guard and nobody was surprised because my attitude toward that bill was known from the beginning. There are others who wanted to just wait everybody out and keep us here till midnight, which we did. So now the chickens are coming home to Senator Lamb, I am opposed to LB 1059. Maybe what you have is a better alternative, but it's one of circumstances at this point where I don't know that the Speaker ought to be faulted for the kinds of underlying agendas that always surface in the last few days of the session. going to vote on Senator Lamb's motion one way or the other because I'm sure if his bill is placed at the head of the agenda, there will be some lively discussion. I'm sure that LE 1059 will be discussed, and there are some matters that I would feel are germane as far as discussion to the issues that would be raised. But I think this morning, on this motion, we're having a foretaste of what the rest of the session is going to be. There is no need for anybody to allow pressure and tensions to build up internally that could contribute to an ulcer down the line or perhaps a heart attack before the session is over. I don't want to see that happen to anybody. I don't believe any issue confronting us is serious enough for that to