the one that I introduced, were both heard after Senator Lamb's proposal was offered. If you look at the committee statement on LB 866, there are a number of individuals who testified in support of it. There was one neutral testifier. It was given a very fair and open hearing. The substance in LB 866 was debated in and out last year in the form of LB 84 which was Lamb's bill, but which was Senator Lamb's bill if you remember, Senator Lamb, by the flip of a coin. We could have very easily been dealing with the LB 747 property tax relief proposal which was Senator Chizek's bill, which you made a motion to bracket earlier this session which I told you at the time meant that we probably would not be dealing with a second year of LB 84 this year because if we would have moved that bill over to Select File, we would have dealt with it. Now whether or not it would have been in the form that you wanted it, you did not trust us city slickers because you didn't want the bill moved over at that point in time. You thought that if we moved it over in its original form, which was a homestead exemption only, that there would be no chance for an LB 866 provision. I don't think that's the case. I think moving it over would have made good sense. That time we chose not to, you opposed that. LB 866 has not come up on the agenda, it's not because we didn't deal it I think in a very up front and honest manner in the Revenue Committee as well as here on the floor when we dealt with LB 84 last year. If you look at what LB 866 does in its amended form should you move to overrule the agenda and put it out here, you're going to be dealing with such things as sales tax on food. You're going to be looking at a changed system from last year with regard to the credit for the homestead provision or for the eight and a half percent reduction in property tax to a provision that says now we're going to generate a check. We're going to generate a check and send that out. You're going to deal with things such as the refundable income tax credit...

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: ...for 1990 and 1986. You're going to deal with the changes in the adjusted gross income for taxpayers filing single or married. You're going to look at...with regard to the income tax. You're going to look at the increase in the sales tax. You're going to look at the issue of the tax exemption for implements of husbandry, Senator Haberman's favorite amendment which is the sales tax exemption for farm machinery. So you're not just looking at an issue of property tax relief in the form that we had LB 84 before us. There was a lot of opposition