

Chambers' bill, and in looking over the bill, that one is, I understand, very important, I support it, but it also says in there that the federal government gives us until 1992 to implement legislation and so we have plenty of time on that one and yet it was put on special order. So I think that Senator Lamb's request is correct and that we should at least be able to discuss LB 866 today and find an alternative, if we so chose, to LB 1059.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Moore, please.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, yes, I rise once again to oppose Senator Lamb's motion. And as I said before, you know, Senator Lamb and others would like to have you believe that, well, LB 866 is the only alternative to LB 1059 out there and it can just move along as easy as can be. Well, how about the concern for the low income? How about the concern for the renters? How about the concern for the motor vehicle trust fund? All the arguments people have tried to raise on LB 1059, the arguments are there on LB 866 and so, for one thing, I mean, all these concerns, these new found concerns other people have for the low income and the renters, you know, actually the problems are even worse in LB 866 because it's totally funded on a sales tax. And there's so many unanswered questions there I just sit here and tell you if we want to debate LB 866, which I think we should eventually, it's going to take some time and it's going to take some going into some scrutiny as some other bills have this year. And I have said my piece. I think you need to listen to Speaker Barrett. He's done a fair job of working on the agenda. I understand Senator Lamb's frustration. I, too, would like to get to LB 866 in its normal order. And with that, Mr. President, I give the balance of my time to Senator Hall.

PRESIDENT: Senator Hall, please, you have about three and a half minutes.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I rise to oppose Senator Moore...Senator Lamb's motion to overrule the agenda, and not so much the issue of the agenda, I would not have had any problem dealing with LB 866, I guess, at any time other than this late in the session. But to address some of his concerns with regard to the hearing on the bill, yes, there was a rather late hearing on the bill. There were other bills that were heard after LB 866, mine was one of them. Senator Warner's was heard, had a bill that was of considerable substance as was