

why Senator Barrett is not happy with this motion; and I can see that Senator Barrett has done a good job as Speaker and scheduling bills for the most part. I think here was an error, strictly an error, that this bill should have been special ordered under the circumstances. LB 1059 is away and flying maybe, maybe, maybe. There are people on this floor who have said, well, look, we have to vote for LB 1059 because there's no alternative, there's no alternative; but there is an alternative and all we have to do is put it on Select File and it's there for your consideration should that be necessary. I regret the fact that there's considerable debate on this proposal at this point. But I would call to your attention that I have not been one who has provided protracted debate this session. And if Senator Barrett wants to chastise me for doing that at this point, then that's his prerogative. I would suggest that there are a whole bunch of other people in here who have, and they are a minority, who have held up the process considerably. It hasn't been me. I have a legitimate proposal here. I have a legitimate proposal that should be placed over on Select File. I have good reasons for it. Senator Barrett didn't get into those reasons, and I wish he had gotten into the reasons why he did not put the bill up. Does he not consider it an important bill? What are the reasons? I guess, certainly I'm frustrated, but I think rightly so because in this process we should be able to consider the important bills, and that's the reason the Speaker's been given so much authority. It takes 30 votes to override the agenda. Now I know I don't have 30 votes for LB 866, but I'm hoping that I have 30 votes to get it on the agenda. That's all I'm asking. I would hope that there would not be much more debate and that we would vote on this issue.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Labeledz followed by Senator Moore and Senator Smith.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Mr. President. Just briefly I rise to support Senator Lamb. I notice that he did send out a letter on March 21 asking the Speaker to put it on special order. I oppose LB 1059 and I was looking forward to having LB 866 at least be debated so that we can understand and know and have an alternative to LB 1059. So I do support Senator Lamb's motion to overrule the Speaker's agenda. I also notice that LB 11... let's see, LB... was put on special order today, oh, I must have the wrong agenda, just a moment, oh, yes, LB 1113, it's to prohibit certain discriminatory actions relating to housing which is Senator Wesely's, Senator Schimek's and Senator