

PRESIDENT: Senator Chizek, I jumped over you because I didn't see you. Did you wish to speak?

SENATOR CHIZEK: Yes, Mr. President, I oppose Senator Lamb's motion. He talked about the late hearing, et cetera. I had a bill, LB 747, that was out on the floor and was my priority bill. It was bracketed until the end of February. If anything, that bill should be before this one. So I oppose Senator Lamb's motion.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Schmit, please, followed by Senator Wesely.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President and members, I'm going to support Senator Lamb's motion to move his bill up. I had my 1989 priority bill which I believe ought to have been debated, but I discussed it with a number of members on the floor, the bill that would have provided for the sale of school lands, I discussed it with the Speaker, it was apparent that the votes were not there, although I did believe that it could have contributed substantially to the education of this body had we debated the bill, I chose not to bring it up to save time. I think Senator Lamb has cooperated time after time after time on this floor in order to save time. All of a sudden, a bill which is very important to him and to many of us may not be heard. I'd just like to say there are other ways in which you impact the Speaker's agenda other than by doing what Senator Moore or Senator Lamb has done. We do that every time that one of us speaks on a bill that's before the body. We impact the Speaker's ability to move legislation through this chamber because what we do by the various methods whereby we do not facilitate the orderly handling of legislation is to handicap and tie the hands of the Speaker and limit his ability to allow this body to function. So, therefore, Senator Lamb has to do that which he does not like to do, but he has to move to overrule the Speaker's order. And I know that there are those that will impact upon some of the bills that I have, but I think that at least Senator Lamb deserves a vote on it and let the record show whether someone wants to debate LB 866 or does not. I would suspect that might be more in consideration of Senator Lamb than whether or not the Speaker's order should be overridden. Were it a different type of bill, probably you would not have some of the opposition that you have. I support Senator Lamb's motion.