

that your response was to Senator Withem's question, not to the general discussion on this floor.

SPEAKER BARRETT: I made my ruling earlier, Senator Schimek, and based on the logic that the decision had been made earlier on the same motion offered by Senator Chambers, which was a motion to bracket the bill until January 1 of 1991, and the body decided a decision had been made, and in that event, your motion to bracket is out of order.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: This isn't Senator Chambers, I am a different senator and I don't think...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Are you challenging the Chair, then, Senator Schimek?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, I am, Senator Barrett.

SPEAKER BARRETT: It has been reconsidered. I believe that that is not in order. I am sorry. We have another priority motion, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers would move to bracket LB 688E.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator McFarland.

SENATOR MCFARLAND: I think this is entirely inappropriate, too. It is out of order. It, in effect, is a motion to bracket to an indefinite time. It, in effect, would be the same thing as indefinitely postponing it. That is prohibited under Rule 7, Section 6, and, therefore, it is out of order as well and should not be recognized.

SPEAKER BARRETT: It is the opinion of the Chair that the motion to bracket to no date is in order. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR MCFARLAND: I would move to overrule the Chair, Mr. Chairman, or Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BARRETT: A motion to overrule the Chair has been offered by Senator McFarland. Senator McFarland, would you care to present your case?

SENATOR MCFARLAND: Sure, and thank you. Sometimes it is