

vote to reconsider what we did.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. You have heard the closing and the question is the adoption of the Chambers motion to reconsider the vote taken to overrule the Chair. All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record vote has been requested. Please record.

CLERK: (Record vote read. See page 1779 of the Legislative Journal.) 8 ayes, 20 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to reconsider.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Motion fails. Mr. Clerk, you have a motion.

CLERK: Mr. President, I do. I have a motion from Senator Schimek to bracket LB 688 until January 1, 1991.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The Chair recognizes Senator Schimek. Yes, Senator McFarland.

SENATOR MCFARLAND: That is out of order. We have already ruled that brackets, the bracket has been considered once and cannot be considered again.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Just a moment, Senator Schimek. Thank you. Senator Schimek, it occurs to the Chair that the motion to bracket until January 1st of 1991 is out of order, inasmuch as a motion was previously decided on that same date.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: (Mike off) ...Chair, and I would be happy to give my reasons for that if it is appropriate at this moment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: We have voted on a reconsideration, Senator Schimek. A decision was made on the same...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Well, I think I have a question to raise that has not been addressed by you in your ruling because the question that Senator Withem asked was about one single individual, if that single individual could introduce a bracket motion, withdraw it, introduce it again, withdraw it, continuously. His question did not address whether another person could introduce a motion to bracket after another senator had already done the same thing and withdrawn it, and I think