

override that particular provision, but I think we went down the wrong road on that decision. Precedent and logic would have us overrule...excuse me, would not have us overrule the Chair. Precedent and logic I think would have us reconsider that vote, and I know that the issue at hand isn't why you voted the way you did, that the matter at hand dealing with the amendments you would like to get to is what precipitated that vote. But anytime you make a vote of precedent on the rules, I think you have to understand some objection by some of us who feel that the way we are heading is the wrong way and that an improper interpretation has been decided by the body. So I would support the reconsideration and hope you will reconsider this. I think it was the wrong vote. The Chair was right in the beginning with the ruling that he made.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Hall, followed by Senator...the question has been called? Do I see five hands? I do. Shall debate now cease? All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, to cease debate.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Debate ceases. Senator Chambers, would you care to close on your motion to reconsider the vote taken to overrule the Chair?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Legislature, I think what needs to be considered as a result of the first vote which I think perverted the rule is that Senator McFarland and Senator Withem wanted to read the rule and emphasize what it says in terms of no motion to postpone to a time certain. Now I wonder if they are going to say that those words "to a time certain" have no meaning when I make just a general bracket motion. There is no limit in the rules as to how many times a general bracket motion can be made without being made to a time certain. And a motion to bracket can be made, if you make it to a time certain, there need be no motion made to unbracket it. But we have a specific rule on page 42 which explains how you unbracket a bill, and that is for the purpose of a bracket motion without being bracketed to a time certain. And it ought to be clear that if the rule specifies a bracket motion to a time certain, there must be another bracket motion which is not to a time certain, and we have made those kind of motions throughout my time in the Legislature. We just say I move to bracket the bill. And the question might be