the vote is taken someway or another by this body. You can't allow an individual member to decide for the body whether or not a bracket motion has been offered and will not again be offered. think you subject yourself to greater abuse as the result of that then following the interpretation the Chair made, which was that the body, itself, should have some decision on it, vote one way or the other, before you consider an issue decided. besides that, you have got other matters here on Section 6 that need to be looked at and may be looked at as you look further into this motion to bracket. You have a time certain issue, that Senator Chambers can move to a certain date, and that considered one effort and can't be after voted on, if that is the way we interpret "being decided", resubmitted but would another date constitute a different motion and not fall under this prohibition against consideration again. And we have always said that that was the case, but that would be another issue to be looked at as you look at the details of this. addition, there was already mentioned about same stage. same stage could mean General File, Select File, Final Reading, or it could mean, as Senator Landis said, in any point at which you have a certain amendment pending is one stage. amendment pending is a different stage and, again, that usually has been the way we have interpreted it. In addition, you have the question that has come up by "any individual" and there is not any specific language here, but the question is if Senator Chambers isn't allowed to resubmit the motion to bracket, would the same motion be allowed for another individual, somebody else to make that motion? And so it seems to me that we have some other clarification that might be in order here that we could lead ourselves into as we look at this, and this is really not the time or the place. I don't think anybody is at a point that they feel comfortable with this but I hope that the Rules Committee will take a look at this because a lot of questions are being raised right now that may never come back but could engulf us for the remainder of time today. As far as personal decision on "being decided", I think the Chair is absolutely right and, again, I made the argument that if you interpret it otherwise, you subject yourself to manipulation, I guess, by individuals far worse than what is the potential under the current interpretation. At this point, the body has to take a vote and that vote is the decision. I think we have always felt that way in the past, but allowing an individual to make a motion and withdraw it on their own and thus precluding others from making that motion, you really do tie the hands of the body. Now there could be a chance to suspend the rules and thus