

indefinite filibuster by any single member that chooses to do so. And you know, you indicated that you do not recall, I recall one time previous on some other issue this coming up and it is my recollection that the Chair at that time ruled that, no, you could not continue to offer a series of delay to a different date certain, that the intent of the rule, and that is merely my recollection, and as far as whether I am offering this at this point as a means of some sort of cloture, I am not. I have not spoken on LB 688. I have not spoken on LB 769 except I think last year on a point of order, what I felt that the proponents of it were attempting to squelch debate by offering a point of order. I probably will vote in favor of the so-called compromise amendment, when and if we get a chance at that. To date, I have not been a supporter of LB 769. I have had problems with the bill and I know we are not talking about the bill, but because Senator Chambers said he knew why I was offering this, I thought I should at least set the record straight. I am offering this because I think...I think our rules are pretty precious, and that when things like this do come up, we need to take the time, no matter if it is ten o'clock in the evening, or the first thing in a given day, whether it is a controversial bill or an uncontroversial bill, I think our rules are very precious and they are what keep this body together. And I know Senator Chambers believes very strongly that what he is doing is allowed under the rules. I don't think it is and I don't think it should be, and that is the reason I am bringing it at this point, and I may be, in fact, playing into the hands of those who want to delay further by bringing this up at this point, but I think it is an important point to bring up and I bring it up because I am concerned about the rules of the body, and only for that reason.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Further discussion on the motion to overrule the Chair, Senator Wesely followed by Senator McFarland.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. I would support the ruling of the Chair recognizing Senator Withem is raising a legitimate point. I think any time you talk about the point of being decided, making a decision, I think you are, in fact, referring to a vote, either to approve or disapprove. Otherwise, you allow an individual senator to control that point and that decision. So, yes, you could have the situation where somebody does make the motion to bracket, withdraw it as Senator Chambers has, but you also have the possibility by allowing it