

it, and while this has been a unique experience in my life, and I've still got two more years, I would imagine they wouldn't attempt a recall, it's also been one of the biggest pains I've experienced in my life. So I guess I'm just going to continue to do what I think is right. Win or lose next time around, should I decide to run, I'll just continue to do what I think is right. But some of these folks just can't even accept what I am doing, that I actually believe it's right. They are so convinced in their position that they cannot even accept that I am truly doing what I think is right. So I guess I'd just like to thank the Supreme Court for doing this. I always thought they were there to solve problems instead of create them, but it just confirms in my mind that they're...any work that is being done for a compromise on this effort I just...I guess I'd just like to say good luck because you've got people, some people that just can't accept compromise on this and I don't think they ever will. Thanks.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Have you finished, Senator Morrissey? Thank you. Senator Schimek, followed by Senator Ashford.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Mr. President, members of the body, I rise this time to try to find out some answers to some questions about the amendments that are going to be presented. I haven't actually seen the printed amendment. I think I know what it says and what it does, but I'm not sure. I am a little leery I guess of doing amendments like this at the eleventh hour without having time to really think about the consequences or without having time to really understand whether or not such amendment might make a constitutional law. There are just a lot of questions and I'm not sure that I have thought through it well enough to know what questions to ask, but nevertheless, Senator Lindsay, is Senator Lindsay on the floor? May I ask you some questions, Senator Lindsay?

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Lindsay, would you respond.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Yes.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Lindsay, I have briefly looked at the statute that's on the books, but I would like to know how an amended LB 769 will compare with the existing statute, what...how would it be similar to the existing one and how would it be different, if you can tell me that in a nutshell?