

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: There is no lukewarm on this issue, and that's why there cannot be any compromise. The positions are set. And those who would attempt what they call a compromise really have no principle at all. I'm expressing what a lot of others feel but won't say, but it's got to be said on the record so that, if there are those who are in that vacillating, temporizing position and feel that my characterization is incorrect, they have the opportunity to confront it head-on and put their rationale into the record so that those outside this Chamber who make judgments of us will know why we behaved in the way that we did. I hope but I don't expect you to vote green on this reconsideration motion.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. The question is the motion to reconsider or to return the bill...reconsider...the reconsider motion to return the bill to Select File. All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, please.

CLERK: 5 ayes, 18 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to reconsider.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The motion fails.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next motion I have to the bill is one offered by Senators Landis and Chambers. They would move to return the bill for specific amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The Chair recognizes Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Members of the Legislature, this is another amendment to LB 688. It refers specifically to the curriculum of training that the medical operative or professional is to give the person who is to be entrusted with the medical procedures for a developmentally disabled individual. And it specifically says that this training should not include contraception counseling or abortion referral. The issue today has been LB 769, however, and the question of what's the appropriate role of the state with respect to the abortion rights of women in our state. It is interesting that the question of whether or not the law should be involved should be changed, should be altered, should be added to this way has been asked of our members of the public a number of times. In 1982, in response to the question whether