March 30, 1990 LB 688, 769

problem, and will do more to harm the people who supposedly are to be benefitted by it. This motion that Senator Landis offered had language in it that I am not in favor of, but the amendment was not offered for the purpose of being adopted,...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ... it was offered to allow discussion.

SPEAKER BARRETT: On the motion to reconsider, Senator Landis, please.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, Senator Chambers having referred to the knots and gnarls of my colleagues who are out here chatting, perhaps I can explain what I think I understand of what's been talked about and then tell you my own philosophy on how I intend to proceed. Senator Bernard-Stevens and Senator Ashford, I believe, had discussions with Senator Lindsay in which perhaps some of the language modeled after Wisconsin in which grandparents and aunts and uncles might be considered as reasonable alternatives to a parent to sign a consent form or notification form, rather, and in so doing make the bill less onerous, and to give the girl more options for obtaining appropriate notification. And they've redrafted LB 769 to do that. Now there is a procedural problem because this is a reconsideration of my second amendment, and I have a third one. That third amendment I have co-signed with Senator Chambers. Following my third amendment comes a motion to suspend the germaneness rule, a motion to return 688 to Select File for the adoption of 769 as an amendment, there will be a motion to rereturn, there would be a motion to cease further debate and not permit additional amendment, and a motion to read on Final Reading with no further amendment. Those amendments are in order. Now, if Senator Lindsay and Senator Ashford want to bring their issue to bear, it takes its place at the bottom of those amendments. Now, Senator Lindsay approached me and said would I pull my amendment to permit theirs to go ahead of it, but then restate my third amendment right behind the one that they want to offer and right ahead of Senator Labedz's amendments. I said that would be satisfactory with me, although I scratched my head and said, that's right, Ernie co-signed that motion with me. Now, as far as I can tell there are five camps. Those camps are Senator Labedz and perhaps others who may share her insistence on 769, although I believe that camp has been prevailed upon by Senator

