as important as LB 769?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Ah...

SENATOR NELSON: I see there is some very important points in LB 688.

SENATOR LINDSAY: I think LB 769...

SENATOR NELSON: A direct yes or no.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Okay, no.

SENATOR NELSON: Thank you. Second question, I am not sure that I shared with you, Senator Lindsay, an amendment that was offered to the Wisconsin Legislature, that I tried to compromise. I thought it was at least worth discussion. I know that I shared it with five or six senators on the floor, Senator Schimek, Senator Crosby, Senator Labedz was available. What that did was offered, if that girl could not go back to the dysfunctional family or her parents or maybe the dad that got her pregnant in the first place, or that brother, which this bill may or may not be asking for, an adult member could give the consent. It also called for counseling, what was taking place, what the consequences were, and so on, and it did call for the court bypass. I was very willing to work. I went to your spokesperson, Jim Cunningham, and asked him for his opinion on it, and I got very indirectly a definite answer, no, we are not interested in compromising, we are not interested in talking about it, and to confirm that, Senator Crosby, you got a copy of didn't you. And so I was willing to compromise, I was that, willing to try to find something, but it just seems like that there is no compromise, and are you familiar with that, Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: I kind of lost it after a minute or two. Am I familiar with the amendment, if that is the question?

SENATOR NELSON: Yes, the Wisconsin amendment...

SENATOR LINDSAY: Haven't seen it.

SENATOR NELSON: ... that was offered, pardon?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Haven't seen it. No, I have not seen it.