

March 30, 1990

LB 642, 688, 1018

ridiculous. And if that continues, absolutely, I would continue doing that which I am now, and it is. We have got the motion before us on the amendment, and two motions to suspend the rules and move it right on to Final Reading, and no debate, no amendments. We are just going to do that. It works in a lot of countries. It sometimes may even work in the United States but it will not work here while I am here, if I can help it. It will not.

SPEAKER BARRETT PRESIDING

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Chambers is against the bill in any way, any form. I am not. Senator Ashford did ask for at least a minute and I will abide by that and give the last minute to Senator Ashford.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Ashford.

SENATOR ASHFORD: Thank you. This is for my good friend Senator Conway and others, who have been receiving calls from the NRA, to the effect that I am going to put the gun bill on, what is it, LB 1018, the drive-by shooting bill. I want to tell you and everyone in the press here that LB 642 is not going on it, and Senator Beyer and all the others that have been involved in this issue this week, that LB 642 is not going on the drive-by shooting bill. It may go on this bill, though, sometime around eleven-thirty this evening. No, in any event, it is...so we all know, so you won't get any more calls, and so Mr. Overstreet or whatever his name is from the NRA won't call anybody else, that bill is not going on the drive-by shooting bill. Thank you very much.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Nelson, further discussion?

SENATOR NELSON: I am sure glad we got that settled because I heard from my husband and he also was getting them, and kind of laughed about it, and so on. I am glad that Senator Lindsay came back to the floor again. I have a question to ask him.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Lindsay, would you respond.

SENATOR NELSON: Senator Lindsay, do you feel that LB 688 is not