

increased health risks and increased costs. Lawyers and guardian ad litem find that parental notification does not maintain confidentiality, is a nightmare when you try to accommodate a single parent household. And lastly, that the judicial bypass is an illusion which discriminates against the disadvantaged teenager who may want to make use of it but would feel frightened by it, would not know how to use the procedure or well remain ignorant of the procedure. We must remember that adherents of 769 have never permitted notification be given to teenagers that judicial bypass exists. They resisted any attempt to giving a public notice, allowing notices at the courthouse to inform young people at the time that they learn of their pregnancy...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...all of those attempts to inform children were stricken or were fought and are not a portion of that amendment. I move the adoption of my amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Discussion on the Landis motion to return the bill, Senator Chambers, followed by Senators Wesely and Labeledz.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, if this were going to be a 60-yard dash or a 60-meter dash or a 100-meter dash or anything up to 800 meters, I could sprint in a manner of speaking, but since this is going to be a marathon, I have to pace myself. Senator Landis, I'd like to ask you what this first amendment of yours does.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Landis, would you respond.

SENATOR LANDIS: Yes, Senator Chambers, if you take a look at the final copy of the bill...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Uh, huh.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...688, take a look at page 2. It indicates that the special care provider will receive training.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR LANDIS: And that training is to be done by an attending physician and a registered nurse. The curriculum must be at