

going to be, number one, taken out totally, and the number two thing that was interesting was many members in the body were looking at the board and they said, oh, wouldn't you know, it only got 23-24 votes, it didn't pass, it needed 25. They were unaware, because they just weren't paying that close attention, that, again, an amendment to the amendment just takes a majority vote. In fact, Senator Landis, you were in fact ready to call the house and have a roll call vote because even you thought it took 25 and then you realized your mistake and said, excuse me, I don't need to do that because I've won. There was a lot of confusion out there. And so this is one of those where I say, David, it's also time for fair play on this side. There was some confusion. I feel we need to give Senator Pirsch a straight shot. I think we need to do what you've asked us to do many times, and that is give a fair shot to the bill, and if the votes aren't there, they're not there. And I think we need to try to be consistent in our arguments. Senator Chambers makes some very interesting arguments, and I'm not going to respond to a lot of them right now. We'll wait and see how the reconsideration vote goes. But, Senator Chambers, I'm always one to...it's always easy to be a person and criticize and say, I don't like this, and do you realize this does that, and how can you vote for something this asinine, and I agree, there are certain parts in that bill that are literally disgusting as far as what they would do and need to be changed. But that's what the amendment process is for, Senator. If you have so many problems with parts of the bill, you can take those parts out as an amendment if you want.

PRESIDENT NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: If you don't like certain parts of the bill, you can come back through the amendment process and that's the way our process works, Senator. Senator Chambers talks about degrees of punishments sometimes, about increasing punishments for crimes, and isn't that a terrible thing. Shoot, we do that all the time with our children. We find a level of punishment that fits the need of what we're trying to get across to them. If that doesn't work, we try to the ante. Maybe we're just going to have a talking with them. Maybe we're going to have a family meeting. Maybe we're going to take away a toy. Maybe we're going to do something else. But we always increase the ante if it gets very, very serious. And, Senator Chambers, we're saying in this body that we have a very, very serious problem, one that destroys our kids, one that destroys our