

March 29, 1990

LB 220, 1031, 1212

SENATOR SMITH: That's right, that's what I wanted to know.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: LB 1212 is setting up language that authorizes that an expenditure that we did authorize in LB 1031 and it sets up guidelines as to how that program will be administered. The funds are already there in the court system. This is adding some language to put some specificity to, that's hard for me to say, to how the funds will be administered, how the funds will be collected and how what the guidelines will be for this program.

SENATOR SMITH: All right, but no additional new dollars over what have already been appropriated in 1031.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: That's right. With the exception of LB 220 itself has some funds which are basically a reauthorization of funds, about \$50,000, but no new funds added to this bill.

SENATOR SMITH: Okay, thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chizek.

SENATOR CHIZEK: I rise to support the motion to return. We had heard both bills. I think 1212 is necessary and I support Senator Hannibal's attempt to return it.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Pirsch.

SENATOR PIRSCH: I think also, Senator Smith, and I'm glad that you pointed out those costs that the probationer will be paying for and also, of course, it does save us costs in our jails of feeding and housing and transporting these people and yet giving safety to our public through intensive probation. So there will be savings that you won't even see as well as the paybacks that the person who chooses to use this alternative will be paying back to the system.

SPEAKER BARRETT: There are no other lights. Senator Hannibal, to close.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: I want to make a couple of comments for the record, but I think I'll wait and hope that this motion passes and when we get on the amendment itself, then I will make those. So I would just move that we return the bill.