SPEAKER BARRETT: LB 164 passes. The A bill, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Read LB 164A on Final Reading.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: (Mike not on.) ...provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, LB 164A pass? Those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, please.

CLERK: (Read record vote. See page 1694 of the Legislative Journal.) 40 ayes, 2 mays, 3 present and not voting, 4 excused and not voting, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: LB 164A passes. LB 187.

CLERK: Mr. President, I have a motion on the desk. Moore would move to return LB 187 to Select File for a specific amendment, that amendment being to strike the enacting clause.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The Chair recognizes Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, Mr. Speaker and members, LB 187 is a bill that we've not debated for quite some time and I think at the very least we should remind the body of just what this bill is now and what it costs. You remember this bill first advanced over 12 months ago to General File and eventually to Select File and as I'm sure Senator Lynch will probably tell you in his time what happened to the bill last year and why it's in this form this year. But I think it's important that to begin with the body looks at the second page of the back part of the green sheet and looks at the price tag of this bill and understands the dollars that we're talking about. As you can see, if you look at that, we're talking roughly a half million dollars for this fiscal year and \$8.6 million of price tag in the years that. That's the first point I just want to simply bring out that people are aware of that as we start reading the varieties of A bills this morning. Now, if you remember back last year on General File the debate on 187 at that time this bill carried a \$12 million price tag, one of the major selling points of this bill back then at least, was the fact that, know, this was a cost of health care that county government has picked up and on this floor more than one senator voiced their support on LB 187 because it would help property tax relief. We tie that to a lot of things. If we didn't pass this bill it would be cost that would be incurred by local