

years.

SENATOR WARNER: This would be an ongoing program, yes.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Is this the usual manner in which we handle legislation like this that we completely gut a bill, leave the original title on the sheet and then take the A bill or take the funds and put them in 1031 or some other bill?

SENATOR WARNER: The...well, it's not unusual to gut a bill.

SENATOR HABERMAN: I know that, but what about putting the funding somewhere else?

SENATOR WARNER: The title was to be corrected. I think it was an oversight in the computer actually. One of the bills later on, the title does get changed. This one should have been, was missed, but that is just a simple oversight. What is your third question?

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well I noticed that some titles had been changed, Senator Warner...

SENATOR WARNER: Yes.

SENATOR HABERMAN: ...and I was going to ask you about that also. Your explanation is...(interruption)

SENATOR WARNER: (inaudible)

SENATOR HABERMAN: ...that the computer had a bug in it and that is why some of the titles weren't changed, is that correct?

SENATOR WARNER: I think it was an oversight.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Okay.

SENATOR WARNER: Now, in the translation of the...(interruption)

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well I have a question, I guess, I'm going to ask one more question.

SENATOR WARNER: ...intent of...but the other thing I wanted to say is that if you look on the back of those green sheets that lists the numbers by 536 it shows that the appropriation was in