

March 27, 1990

LB 1055, 1059

this point.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Warner, discussion on the advancement of the bill, followed by Senator Langford.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I haven't said anything all day but I just thought maybe I ought to at least make some comment because I don't intend to vote for the bill as it currently is amended. But one thing that's occurred to me and perhaps one of the supporters can respond or it doesn't really make any difference if you don't, but it occurs to me that legislation tends to tie together and I suppose the next time we will hear about 1055 I would think would be...an appropriate time would be when we get to the override on LB 1059. As I recall, that bill had a 4 percent limit on other governmental subdivisions. Maybe it's 5 if they have enough votes. But I was just doing a little calculation here based on notes here, instead of that 4 percent increase, like for Omaha, it seems to get...if they lose 240,000, that drops down to 3.4. Lincoln is not so bad off, they only lose .25 of 1 percent of their 4 percent so they would still have a little bit. But I would suspect these little minor amounts as we begin to put lids on local government expenditures begin to become an accumulative thing which inevitably is going to affect other legislation. And since I'm still trying to make up my mind on another bill and whether or not I will vote to override and if I decide to, I hate to see it injured by a provision that will make it more difficult to override by virtue of the fact that taking this revenue away and limiting cities the ability to replace that lost revenue it seems to be a double problem that we shouldn't have. And that's my reasons for voting no when the bill advances.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Langford.

SENATOR LANGFORD: Mr. President, I call the question.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. That won't be necessary. We have no other lights. Senator Hall, please.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President, and members, just in response to Senator Warner's concerns, I, you know, don't see a relationship between 1059 and 1055 but I do understand the issue of the 4 percent cap. You're dealing with one that is a \$200 million bill and the other is, I guess, a \$240,000 loss to