to \$7,000,246 that the horse tracks will not pay in tax and give back to the state. So thoroughbred racing is going to pick up a million, two next year when 1059, 1055 passes, and then in 1992 and '93, it will pick up a million, eight. So there is an escalator clause in them. This bill provides them not paying taxes. Well, if this is the price you want to pay this economic development effort to keep the horses going, that is fine, but don't take it out of the hides of the pickle players and the bingo players. That is not fair at all. What you will be doing is going from about \$6.6 million in revenues, in taxes now paid from pickles and bingo and charitable gaming down to about five million, eight. I don't know what your numbers are. know where Tim got his numbers, but it doesn't seem to me it is that much that he says it is. So think about that trade-off, and how can you justify passing one portion of this bill that applies to horses that is going to return another million, two in addition to the five or six they don't pay, simply because that is good business? And then if you...if you vote for that because you think, well, that kind of gambling is better than the other kind of gambling, you really got to be eating some of the straw the horses are chewing on. That makes no sense at all. So I would like to suggest to you that again this is an equity bill, as it applies to pickles, as it applies to bingo. It is only fair that the charitable organizations, for all those reasons I won't remind you of again, is only fair and, remember, you need all three, because at the same time you are going to give a million, two back to horses in 1991 and '92, and a million, eight in 1992 and '93, you are still looking at maybe 800,000 going back to charitable organizations which will play big, big dividends to the local communities served by these charitable organizations and they need it, seriously need it. And it is certainly not cost effective and it is obviously very discriminatory for us not to do this. So I would suggest you look beyond what Reverend Chambers has said and seriously consider this amendment as well as the first one and go on and pass all three phases of this legislation.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Schmit is next, followed by Senator Smith and Senator Nelson.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Well, Senator Lynch, you know I am amazed at you. You have been a good friend of mine for many years. You know as we have had it explained to us many times on this floor that the horse racing industry is not the industry of the elite. It is not the king of sports. It is really agricultural in