

countries of the world but I would hope that in the United States we're still states, still one body of commerce across the country, I don't think it's that major a mistake to say that those companies that deal across inter...across state lines would be subject to the same standards. And if this, in fact, does cause some of the problems that are raised by some of you, then that can be corrected in time. But it seems to me to make sense to apply the federal standards at this time and I would urge the support of this amendment. I will yield the rest of my time to Senator Kristensen.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Kristensen, please.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. I don't see Senator Bernard-Stevens in here. Where is he at? There he is. He has straws sticking out of every corner of his straw hat and out of his belt. He's the guy that gave me the speech about, you know, they keep raising all these straw men on some of these other bills and it just makes me so angry, he's the king of the straw men at the moment. Every one of those things that he brought up had straws sticking out of it, and he's wrong, wrong, wrong. You're talking about a different amount of procedures and he is saying a 5-year-old can look at this chart and tell the difference. Well, that's not what this is all about at all. What this is about is a difference in procedure. It's a whole different set of standards and you're going to have...it isn't just for the railroads to attack. It isn't a fight between the railroad workers and the railroads. It's a matter of companies that are coming in, trying to give in safety sensitive areas the protections that they had and Senator Bernard-Stevens is wrong when he said that this is a tougher standard, it's not. Because the federal rules and regulations say that you can have .04 or if a company chooses to be tougher, they can do so and you know something, some companies have become tougher and they don't like it. They don't like it that they have a policy of zero tolerance for alcohol. Well, I tell you, I applaud a company that will sit down and have a policy that says we're not going to tolerate any alcohol. Now Senator Morrissey is correct and he talks about the second test, he talks about appeals, he talks about the right for rehabilitation, he talks about the right for getting a second chance, those are true and those are the protections that are there. This law has nothing to do with who gets tested. What it has to do with, if you're going to test them, how do you do it? And it is basically unfair at this