

March 19, 1990

LB 315

that it would be.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, suppose I'm the commissioner...

SENATOR COORDSEN: That's attitude, you know.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, suppose I'm the commissioner and I say, well, it's not, because the most important thing is attitude. And if he can't get his attitude straight, his progress is not satisfactory. What do we do? You and I are both reasonable, at least I feel I'm reasonable, you feel you are reasonable, so each of us has at least one vote that we're reasonable men. Two reasonable men differ as to how to interpret the same set of facts. Who resolves it?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Well, in this case we'll hope that the commissioner is reasonable, but they would have...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right, and I would...

SENATOR COORDSEN: ...they have the commissioner, whoever that person might be, has that particular responsibility under this amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What I'm really asking is, what is there for the Commissioner of Labor to monitor when it comes to a program such as this?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Back up to the front page, making satisfactory progress toward completion.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And what does satisfactory progress mean other than just being there?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Nothing.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then why have...

SENATOR COORDSEN: It could mean as little as that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So why have this requirement that could pose problems if we have a commissioner who is not as reasonable as you or I?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Well, without this particular section, we