

monitor the progress of somebody in the program. I think that goes well beyond the bounds of expectations for the Commissioner of Labor, and I have problems with that.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Still on the amendment to the amendment. Senator Hall, did you want to discuss it? Thank you. Senator Hefner, on the amendment to the amendment.

SENATOR HEFNER: Mr. President and members of the body, just briefly, I really don't see any need for the Ashford amendment. He changes "enrolls" in a drug treatment program to "applies" for a drug treatment program. I think Senator Coordsen's amendment says it pretty well. And I guess it just depends upon what definition you use for "enroll". But I believe that I would have to oppose the Ashford amendment and stick with the Coordsen amendment as it's being presented. I think we've discussed the definition of what we mean by enroll and that satisfies me and it should satisfy quite a few others. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Wesely, would you care to discuss the amendment to the amendment?

SENATOR WESELY: Yeah, Mr. Speaker and members, I think just to be safe, as Senator Chambers said, we should adopt the Ashford amendment. It does go a long way toward the concern I had. If we can at least indicate that somebody who wants to get into treatment, can't get into treatment, they don't get denied benefits and when they have the opportunity they do get into treatment and deal with their problem. I think it's a wonderful compromise. I think we ought to adopt the Ashford amendment and move on to the rest of the bill.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Coordsen. Thank you. Senator Nelson, on the amendment to the amendment.

SENATOR NELSON: Mr. Speaker, I guess I...not necessarily the amendment to the amendment, I think that this whole thing has gotten way out of hand. I have tried to introduce a bill. I had a small one earlier this year, didn't get out of Revenue for drug treatments. I think we're all assuming that drug treatment is available and a minimal amount of money and so on and so forth and I think that we have spent hours and hours of debate, something that somewhat we know it's not going to happen. The treatment is six to \$11,000. I checked it out and there are