

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Haberman, followed by Senator Schmit.

SENATOR HABERMAN: I will wait and close, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Schmit turned his light off, so you may close now.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well, Mr. President, and members of the body, there are \$8 million in surplus funds at the present time in the State Patrol's retirement system. This will cover the system for quite some time, but beings as the issue has been raised this morning by Senator Hannibal and Senator Nelson, I would like to ask them to raise the same issue as to the cost of the retirement on the judges salaries bill because there will be one, but there is no A bill. And why is there no A bill? Because someone has decided in this body that when it comes to judges retirements we don't need an A bill. So, therefore, I would put the State Patrol on the same level as the judges, because without the State Patrol, we wouldn't need any judges. Therefore, the State Patrol comes really before the judges. So, therefore, members of the body, I would ask you to send the bill back on Final Reading, and when it comes up again, to pass it. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Mr. Clerk? Yeah, we are voting on the adoption of the amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 36 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of the amendment as offered by Senator Haberman.

PRESIDENT: The amendment is adopted. Senator Haberman, would you move to...

SENATOR HABERMAN: I move to advance the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Any discussion? Senator Hannibal.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Mr. President. I don't mean to belabor the point because Senator Haberman does raise a good issue, and that is correct, Senator Haberman, the judges retirement plan will also have a cost eventually that is not showing up on a fiscal note. There is one difference, however,