

individual.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then the constituency that is so concerned about this and views it as art is also stingy?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: I think you...the proper word would probably be poor.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: From some of the things that I have heard, there are people such as Lester Larsen, who is a former engineer, there are other farmers who I'm sure are successful in the sense of making enough money to continue to farm as a business and yet they don't see enough value to donate some money to what you're talking about. Would that be correct?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Well, I guess each individual wants to preserve their own and until you get started no one wants to give money to this commission.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Suppose I would give a \$100 toward it, how many of them would it take to match that \$100?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Well, it would take 50, but they already have 500, so it wouldn't quite take that many.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It would take 50 of them to...each one of them would give \$2.00?

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: No, you said a \$100.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Uh-huh, yes, I meant how many individuals do you think would be willing to match it, not how many would have to do it to get the 5,000.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: I have no idea.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would you?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Sure, I would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Weihing, would you? Would you match a \$100 that I would give to this cause?