and certainly able to work and at a very young age there. Then I look at clients like this and I am torn, but, again, we do have to have accountability, and it seems to me like if we go ahead and approve, if there were mistakes made and we stand here and we approve those mistakes, or we don't pat them on the hand and say just a minute. So I am torn on this. I will listen to the rest of the debate and see where we go from there. I do appreciate the explanations and I, too, have had many letters from my people in asking for additional funding but sometimes we do have to say no and we do have to have accountability and I, too, don't want to point fingers at any one institution more than the other. So with that, certainly give the next one on the list my time.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Morrissey.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members. I rise in support of Senator Coordsen's amendment and I don't pretend to stand up here and understand this whole funding process that goes on here. I have had it explained to me many times and I still don't completely grasp it. And I, too, share Senator Wesely's concerns with the funding and the models that were used, and who is accountable, who is to blame, but I guess that is just a good example of the, to me, the mystery of this appropriations process. I have been having folks sent down to my desk and calling me and writing me and making their case to me on a pretty regular basis, and they have convinced me that this should be a priority. I had a hard time voting against the greenhouse the last time around. I think it is a very good program for the environment, for the future of our industry, for research and development, but I decided it is a building. It is a building. It has possible long-term implications for the state's future, but I knew this was coming up and I said this is a priority. I know there is money that I wanted environmental things, for rural health, for other things, but these folks have come and made their case to me, and now I hear and I knew it was around that there is problems with the way this is going. But nobody came to me and nobody said anything to me that, lobbied me, if you will, on this, that we need to go with what the Appropriations Committee has, and I wasn't actually aware of Senator Wesely's intent language that he is concerned about being stricken. So I am going to stand up here and say that I have been talking to my folks and I have shaken out all my priorities and this program has become a priority with me and probably will continue to be a priority with me, and