

additional PSL included in this request. Finally, in Agency 85 the Appropriations Committee is recommending an additional \$746,162 in General Funds for school, judges and state patrol retirement systems in FY'90-91 based on the June 30, '89 actuarial valuations. The change in actuarial assumptions for the school system plan resulted in \$253,437 of the 429,393 Savings Annuity Fund increase. Salary increases to teachers resulted in \$348,369 of the increase; funding for the state patrol decreased by 10,000; and funding for the reserve teachers program in retire supplemental program decreased by 21,600. That is all I have, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. The Chair recognizes Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. Speaker and members, it's my pleasure to talk about one, two, three, four, five, seven agencies. The first one is the Supreme Court which is in Section 15 of the bill and actually on page 7 of the 1031 summary and we do three things. One thing that the Governor did not do was add an additional \$25,000 for a gender bias study. This is somewhat significantly less than requested. We feel with this amount we could continue on with some progress the Supreme Court, as an agency, has made in looking at the whole issue of gender bias. Also, we picked up some...there was a federal grant on intensive probation that the committee recommended, as did the Governor, to continue that. We also appropriated some Cash Funds to do some additional printing of the advance sheets that all of us get on a weekly basis, I believe, when the Supreme Court is in session. Next agency, Section 20 of the bill, page 10 of the actual bill summary, the Department of Revenue, and the first issue is the tax commissioner's salary which is a 5 percent increase. This has nothing to do with the bonuses that Senator McFarland raised on the floor last year. It is simply that was...it has to be...it's a separate program number and, therefore, because of an increase in salary has to be handled in this way. Also, in the Department of Revenue, one of the larger ticket items in the total bill is a deficit for LB 84. As you remember, last year that was estimated to be a \$98 million bill. That was the A bill we passed last year. Now that cost has come in at about \$111, \$112 million. That's a \$13 million deficit appropriation to fully fund LB 84. And another rather large number, dealing once again with the exact amounts that are now in on the regular homestead exemption programs, and that is \$700,000 in '89-90, \$1.9 million in '90-91. This is the